

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20636 of 2016
Date of decision : 17.06.2016

Keshav Kapoor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Mahesh Dheer, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is father-in-law and is being tried for commission of offence under Section 304-B of IPC. Petitioner is incarcerated since 26.10.2014.

Learned counsel for the State submits that out of 14 witnesses, 2 witnesses have been examined and next date for hearing is 02.07.2016.

In rebuttal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that complainant has already been examined.

In view of the fact that there is no likelihood of the charges of intimidating to the complainant and trial may take sometime, I deem it

appropriate to grant him bail and accordingly, petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Ludhiana.

The present criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed with
aforementioned direction.

17.06.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**