

CRM-M-20609-2016

Date of Decision : 08.07.2016

Veerpal Kaur @ Muskan

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Harpinder Singh Jalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

This is second application for grant of pre-arrest bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considered the allegations. The petitioner is, no doubt, a lady but there are serious allegations against her that she connived with her co-accused and actively participated in the event and pressurised the complainant to part with money being a member of a gang which *prima facie* indulges in extortion of money by adopting the immoral and unethical modes.

First application filed by the petitioner was dismissed. Taking into consideration the conduct of the petitioner that she did not even join investigation, I do not find any ground to entertain this second application for pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that there is a subsequent circumstance to file second application as the matter has been compromised by the complainant with the co-accused of the petitioner. This ground is not sufficient enough to grant the concession

of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in a case which was registered on 27.08.2015. The factum of compromise with co-accused, can be a good ground for grant of regular bail but there appears to be no extraordinary exceptional circumstances to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in a second bail application.

Dismissed, without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to seek regular bail by surrendering before the Court of competent jurisdiction or before the investigating officer. In case of any such eventuality, the concerned Court will decide the application for regular bail expeditiously.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

08.07.2016
Neha