

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

235

**Criminal Misc. No.M-19686 of 2014
Date of decision: January 19, 2016**

Rajesh Verma

....Petitioner

versus

Mamta Verma and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. V.B. Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amninderpreet Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties submits that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and the petition for quashing of the FIR bearing **Criminal Misc. No.M-575 of 2016** has been filed on the basis of compromise which is fixed for hearing on 06.04.2016.

Complainant, Mamta Verma, while appearing in person in the Court, has also affirmed the factum of compromise.

Keeping in view the fact that the dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise and a petition for quashing of the FIR has been filed on the basis of compromise, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

However, it is made clear that in case, no compromise is there between the parties, the petitioner is at liberty to move application for revival of this order.

January 19, 2016
sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE