

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-20569 of 2016

Date of decision: 11.07.2016

Arjun @ Katora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by HC Mukesh Kumar.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.201, dated 02.07.2015, registered under Section 379 IPC, at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala.

It is contended that petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of his disclosure statement made in FIR No.181 dated 17.08.2015. The challan has been presented and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 28.02.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail and states that the petitioner is a habitual offender and

is involved in another seven FIRs.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner in custody since 28.02.2016; the challan stands presented; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE