

**CM No. 12211-C-2016 in/and
RSA No. 3957 of 2002 (O&M)**

-1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No. 12211-C-2016 in/and
RSA No. 3957 of 2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.09.2016**

Daljit Singh and another

.....Appellants

Vs.

Santokh Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Paramjit Kalia, Advocate,
for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. S.K. Mahajan, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CM No. 7573-C-2016

By this application, the applicants-appellants seek to be substituted in place of appellant No. 1, Daljit Singh, who is stated to have died on 22.10.2013, in support of which his death certificate, issued by the New Delhi Municipal Council, has been annexed.

Notice had been issued in the application to counsel for the respondents.

Mr. Mahajan submits that he has no objection if the application is allowed and the persons mentioned in paragraph No. 3 of the application,

i.e. the wife and two minor daughters of Daljit Singh, are impleaded in his

place as appellants.

Consequently, the application is allowed and the three persons named in the aforesaid para of the application are ordered to be impleaded as appellants No. 1 (i), (ii) and (iii), in place of Daljit Singh, deceased.

The amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CM No. 12211-C-2016

By this application, the affidavit of Paramjit Kaur, wife of appellant No. 1, is sought to be placed on record, in which she has stated that the matter has been compromised between the parties to the *lis* and she does not want to prosecute the appeal further.

The said affidavit has been filed by the wife of late Daljit Singh, in view of the fact that both his daughters, who have also been impleaded today as his (Daljit Singhs') legal representatives, are stated to be minors.

The application is allowed and the aforesaid affidavit is taken on record.

CM No. 7572-C-2016

By this application also, the appeal is sought to be withdrawn, in terms of the compromise reached between the parties to the *lis*, i.e. the appellants and respondent No. 1, (respondent No. 2 being the father of the appellants and a proforma respondent). The application is accompanied by the affidavit of Amandeep Singh, i.e. appellant No. 2, stating in paragraph 2 of his affidavit that the parties to the *lis* have compromised the matter between them.

RSA No. 3957 of 2002 (O&M)

Consequently, in view of the affidavits filed, the appeal is

dismissed as withdrawn, thereby upholding the judgments and decrees of
the Courts below.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

September 14, 2016
nitin/vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No