

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRM-M-20510-2016.

Radhey Shyam

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

PRESENT Mr.Munish Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is an Advocate at Karnal. He has filed a complaint under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. Said application is pending before the Additional Sessions Judge, Karnal.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the Presiding Officer to decide the complaint expeditiously.

With the assistance of counsel for the petitioner, I have gone through the interim orders which indicate that complaint is being adjourned on the request of the complainant for arguments.

Counsel for the complainant claims that the petitioner-complainant is eager for appropriate order in the complaint. Next date of hearing before the trial Court is stated to be 27.7.2016.

It has been stated by the counsel for the petitioner that complainant will not seek adjournment on said date of hearing and will argue the matter.

This petition is disposed of being pre-mature, at this stage. A copy of the order be sent to the Presiding Officer for information expecting that in case the complainant argues the matter on 27.7.2016, appropriate judicial order may be passed as deemed appropriate exercising judicial discretion and authority.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

July 15, 2016.

rka