

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-20468 of 2016

.....

Date of decision:11.7.2016

Bahadur Singh

...Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana for
the respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.72 dated 27.2.2016 registered for the offences under Sections 323, 506 and 34 IPC and (Section 326 IPC, which was added later on) at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vikramjit Singh, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has put in appearance and accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition. Police record is also available.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana appearing for the

respondent-State and have gone through the record.

The offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class. The petitioner, who is in judicial custody, is no more required for any interrogation and investigation purposes. The petitioner was stated to be armed with a 'Danda', who gave a 'Danda' blow above the right eye of Monica, which injury has been declared as grievous. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 23.4.2016. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bond in the sum of ₹35,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

July 11, 2016.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp