

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20418-2016
Date of decision : 15.06.2016

Gyatri Devi and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Sud, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned State Counsel on instruction from ASI Rajesh Kumar,
submits that the petitioners have been found innocent.

In view of the aforementioned statement, no cause of action
survives in the present petition, accordingly, the same has been become
infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

15.06.2016
yogesh