

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20387 of 2016

Date of decision :08.12.2016

Ajit Ram and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. B.S.Bali, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab

None for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.50, dated 27.04.2016, registered under Sections 406, 498-A and 120-B IPC, Police Station Women, Patiala, District Patiala.

Notice had been given to the respondents. Service upon respondent no.2 has been effected through his daughter but no one has put in appearance on his behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to order dated 03.06.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation .

Learned State counsel on instructions states that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 03.06.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the

conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

December 08, 2016
nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.