

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20386 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.10.2016

S.K.Mahajan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

M/s Naunji Enterprises and anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.A.S.Barnala, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajeev Duggal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Ms.Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in complaint No.427 of 2013 dated 12.07.2013 filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act (Annexure P-1) pending in the Court at Barnala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was earlier granted the concession of bail in this case and he attending the Court proceedings regularly. On 28.01.2016, he could not appear on account of wrong noting of date of hearing by his counsel and his bail application has been dismissed by the learned trial Court without any cogent reasons. The argument is that absence of the petitioner on the pointed date was not intentional but due to the wrong noting of date by his counsel.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a

direction to the petitioner that he will be bound by the conditions of bail

and would appear before the trial Court in due course.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

22.10.2016
anil

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>