

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-20369 of 2016
Date of Decision: 07.9.2016**

Ved Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Davinder Lubana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S.Bajwa, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 134 dated 30.9.2015, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 120-B IPC, Police Station Lambi, District Muktsar.

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 3.6.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The marriage is about 10 years old. A compromise was effected 2 days after the FIR was lodged. The petitioner deposited the maintenance in the account of the complainant for three months. Both the parties failed to withdraw the litigations.

The State counsel had stated that complainant was ready to identify the articles.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 3.6.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

September 07, 2016
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No