

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20362-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.09.2016

Randhir Singh @ Dhira

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Darshan Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.58 dated 08.05.2016, registered under Sections 353, 186, 427 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

Heard.

On 03.06.2016, the following order was passed:-

“F.I.R has been registered on the statement of H.C Darshan Singh. Complainant had asserted that on 8.5.2016 he along with PHC Sarna Ram had intervened between a group, which had scuffled with each other and were trying to sort out the issue and at that point of time 4/5 boys had caught hold of the complainant and torn his uniform and also given pushes to PHC Sarna Ram. It has further been alleged that the

ATUL SETHI
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

complainant as also PHC Sarna Ram thereafter entered the shop of Kuldeep Singh to save themselves and the assailants came behind them with Dandas and Ballas in their hands and even caused damage to the shop. Complainant has thereafter asserted that upon making inquiries at his own level the assailants came to be known as Raman Singh, Bhan Singh, Raghubir Singh, Manpreet Singh, Satpal Singh as also the present petitioner.

Counsel would argue that it is a case of false implication. No specific injury has been attributed to any of the assailants including the present petitioner. Counsel contends that in fact it is a case of no injury.

Notice of motion, returnable for 15.9.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 03.06.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

19.09.2016

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No