

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20347 of 2016

Date of Decision: August 31, 2016

Jasmer @ Muchchhe

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner-Jasmer @ Muchchhe in this regular bail application are that on 22.5.2015 he along with his co-acused-non/applicants Satinder, Satpal and Jai Parkash were instrumental in the death of deceased Anoop. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that the name of the petitioner never finds mentioned in the initial information to the police and it is by way of an FIR subsequently after the belated period of time his name has been cropped up in the supplementary statement of the complainant and that no specific role has been attributed to him and there is no eye-witness to this effect.

The factual aspect of these submissions has been duly conceded to at bar by the learned State counsel who has accepted that the petitioner is in custody since 16.11.2015.

In view thereof and keeping in view that the only

semblance of evidence against the petitioner is disclosure statement of his co-accused, admissibility of which is a debatable issue and keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 31, 2016
aarti

Whether speaking or reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No