

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(277)

CRM-M-20316-2016

Date of Decision:-21.09.2016

Rahul

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present : Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate,
for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.100 dated 24.03.2016 registered under Sections 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurgaon, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Ravi Gakhar, counsel for the complainant stated that compromise have been arrived at between the parties. Vide order dated August 01, 2016, this Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurgaon, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise have been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any coercion or undue influence.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.100 dated 24.03.2016 registered under Sections 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurgaon is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

September 21, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No