

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20315 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 21.12.2016

Sandeep

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioner

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.131, dated 20.05.2016, registered under Section 354-A IPC, Police Station Barauda, District Sonipat and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is genuine, voluntarily, out of free will of the parties and there is no element of fraud, pressure, fear or undue influence. The trial court has also sent the original statements of parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that

petitioner is the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal), 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioner.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

December 21, 2016
nt

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No