

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-203-2016**

**Date of Decision : 04.02.2016**

Ram Piari and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?**

Present : Ms. Pushpinder Kaur, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Brar, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, Police Post Himmtana, Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur has made a statement before this Court that out of the petitioners only Gagandeep and his father Paras Ram, who is not petitioner in this case, are wanted by the police of this Police Station in different 30 criminal cases and that the police would only initiate action under the law against these two persons and has undertaken that the police will not put to harassment the petitioners-Ram Piari, Parveen Rani and Meenu Bala nor would they call them to the Police Station and would exercise their jurisdiction only after issuance of notice, if need so arises.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Allowed to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**February 04, 2016**  
Dpr

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)  
JUDGE**