

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-20281 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.08.2016**

Baldev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Jinder Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

CRM-21564-2016

Annexures P-3 and P-4 are taken on record.

Misc. application stands allowed accordingly.

CRM-M-20281-2016

This petition has been filed against the judgment dated 12.05.2016 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, dismissing the revision petition filed by Baldev Singh-husband (petitioner herein) against the judgment dated 30.10.2014 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sirsa, whereby petition filed by the respondent-wife under Section 125 Cr.P.C. has been allowed and Baldev Singh-petitioner has been directed to pay maintenance to the tune of Rs.3000/- per month.

There is no dispute that Baldev Singh-petitioner is husband of Jinder Kaur-respondent and they are having three children, who are in the custody of the present petitioner. However, the maintenance has been

awarded in favour of respondent-wife by taking the income of the petitioner

as Rs.15,000/- per month being a mason (skilled labourer).

After going through the impugned judgments, this Court is of the view that maintenance at the rate of Rs.3000/- per month has rightly been granted by both the Courts below in favour of the respondent-wife keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the same is not on the higher side. The fact that the petitioner is maintaining three children, will not absolve him from his responsibility to maintain his wife. The impugned judgments do not suffer from any illegality, much less perversity. Hence, no ground is made out to interfere therein.

Dismissed.

08.08.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No