

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-23293 OF 2012
DATE OF DECISION : 21st JULY, 2016

Jagjit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. K. B. Raheja, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. K. S. Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.
 Mr. R. M. Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently submitted that he has a cast iron case to succeed in the present matter and therefore this Court ought to hear the matter on merits finally.

This petition was filed on 02.08.2012 and notice of stay was also issued. It appears that thereafter the petition was not circulated for stay of the trial nor any such stay order was obtained as a result of this now the learned counsel for the State as well as complainant makes statement that the trial is now posted for judgment on 25/28 July, 2016.

In that view of the matter, I think that judicial propriety requires that this court should not interfere in the matter even if the learned counsel has an anxiety, who says that he has an excellent case on merits.

Dismissed, with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the points and when occasion arises.

21st JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE