

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20196-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 22.09.2016

Krishan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab
and Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Darshan Singh.

Mr. Vipul Dharmani, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.109 dated 27.08.2015, registered under Sections 279 and 304-A of the Indian Penal Code (subsequently converted into Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib, Distt. Rupnagar.

Learned counsel contends that the case was initially registered under Sections 279 and 304-A IPC, however, on reinvestigation of the matter, the challan has been filed under Section 302 IPC. The petitioner has been kept in column No.2. He has joined the investigation in terms of the orders passed by this Court.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the instant petition.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the

ATUL SETHI
201609221028
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 03.06.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

22.09.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No