

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20097 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.07.2016

Balvinder Jot Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B. R. Vohra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Krishan Kumar.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in case FIR No.107 dated 26.03.2014, registered under Sections 420, 406, 201, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC'), at Police Station Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner being a bonafide purchaser purchased this property from co-accused, Renu Arora for consideration of Rs.47,00,000/-. He states that co-accused, Renu Arora had been admitted to bail by the learned trial Court. It is a magisterial trial.

The petitioner is in custody since 05.12.2014 and the complainant stands

examined.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel states that the petitioner was inducted as a tenant, who forged a GPA in favour of his employee, Rajinder Arora, who further transferred property in the name of his wife, Renu Arora. The petitioner allegedly raised loan of Rs.3 crores against the alleged property. He submits that challan has been presented and out of twenty witnesses, seven have been examined so far.

Heard.

Keeping in view the active role of the petitioner that he raised a loan of Rs.3 crores against the property in question and the fact that 13 witnesses are yet to be examined, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

27.07.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No