

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19998-2016

Date of decision : 02.06.2016

JOGINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the facing threat perception, the petitioner has lodged an FIR but the police is not protecting the petitioner. He has also made representation Annexure P-3, to SHO, Police Station (Sadar), Amritsar.

In the circumstances, the petition is disposed of with the direction to the SHO, Police Station, Sadar, Amritsar to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and ensure that no crime shall commit against him. However, if any charge is not been added in the final report to be presented by the police before the Court, the present petitioner can always raise appropriate arguments before the lower Court for addition of charge.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

June 2, 2016
Suresh Kumar