

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-19971 of 2016
Date of decision: 16.11.2016**

Chetan Raina and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No.05 dated 16.01.2016, under Sections 498-A/323 IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Faridabad (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 29.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Vandana Prasad-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Chetan Raina-petitioner No.1 on 03.12.2014 as per Hindu rites and rituals at Hotel Heritage Raj Hans, Faridabad. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws (petitioners) started harassing and humiliating her on the pretext of bringing inadequate dowry. She was also given beatings by them. Ultimately, she was turned out of her matrimonial house. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been compromised between the parties. Terms of the compromise has been reduced into writing before the Presiding Officer, Daily Lok Adalat, Faridabad vide compromise deed dated 29.02.2016 (Annexure P-2). As per compromise, the petitioners have agreed to pay a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- to respondent No.2 towards full and final settlement for past, present and future claims.

In compliance with the order dated 09.09.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Faridabad, has been received in this regard. As per report, Vandana Prasad-respondent No.2 (complainant) and accused-petitioners made their joint statement on 20.09.2016 to the effect that they have compromised the matter as per terms of compromise deed dated 29.02.2016 executed before the Court of Sh. Rajesh Malhotra, Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad at their own free will and without any coercion or pressure. Complainant-respondent No.2 has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. In view of the joint statement given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.05 dated 16.01.2016, under Sections 498-A/323 IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Faridabad, is quashed

with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

16.11.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No