

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19962-2016
Decided on: 09.11.2016**

Satyawan

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Ashwani Gaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No. 502 dated 28.11.2014 under Sections 365/354/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station City, Sonapat, District Sonapat, is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the State has filed reply and the same is taken on record.

The petitioner was previously married to the complainant Seema and the marriage was dissolved by ex-parte decree of divorce dated 05.05.2014 on a petition preferred by the wife Seema. Out of this wed-lock, two daughters were born who are with the wife-complainant. The allegations in the FIR is that on 25.11.2014, the petitioner who was father of Madhu aged 4 months had kidnapped her and it is further alleged that Rs.20 lacs were demanded for returning the daughter. The dispute between ex-wife and the petitioner has now been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure

In compliance of the order dated 02.06.2016 passed by this Court, status report has been received from the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat. As per the report, statement of the complainant has been recorded that where she has stated that she does not want to take any action against the present petition and has been residing with her husband and has no objection if the FIR is quashed. The statement of Gaurav has also been recorded.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 19.08.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 502 dated 28.11.2016 under Sections 365/354/506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station City, Sonapat, District Sonapat is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

09.11.2016
Dinesh

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No