

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.894 of 1995  
Date of Decision :01.04.2016

Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. ....Appellant

Versus

Gurcharan Singh and others .....Respondents

**Coram      Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amol Rattan Singh.**

Present:      Mr.Sanjiv Pabbi, Advocate, for the appellant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Amol Rattan Singh, J.**

As per order dated 07.01.2016, a last opportunity granted to the appellant, to provide the correct address of respondent No.6 and to move an appropriate application for impleading the legal representatives of respondent No.7. He further submits that as a matter of fact, respondent No.7 is not the contesting respondent and actually respondents No.5 and 6 would be contesting respondents, as regards the liability to pay the compensation. He has not been able to obtain the correct address of respondent No.5, who was the driver of the "offending vehicle", nor has he been able to obtain the whereabouts of the legal representatives of respondent No.6, who was the owner of the vehicle.

In view of the above fact, that it is not possible to serve the contesting respondents, dismissed for want of prosecution.

01.04.2016  
**anil**

**(Amol Rattan Singh)  
Judge**