

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(276)

CRM-M-18977-2015

Date of Decision:-15.09.2016

Ram Singh and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Rule.

Heard forthwith.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

By the impugned order dated 20.02.2015, by which the two petitioners who had stood surety for getting the release of the convict on parole, were punished with fine in the sum of ₹50,000/- each by the learned District Magistrate, Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioners makes a categorical statement that the convict who had jumped the parole was eventually arrested in some other crime and is in jail. Learned State counsel is unable to either refute or confirm the statement. Be that as it may, looking to the FIR that the petitioners are farmers and the fine in the sum of ₹50,000/- each would be harsh. I think, in place of fine of ₹1,00,000/- for two petitioners, the same should be reduced to ₹20,000/- i.e. ₹10,000/-

each. The counsel for the petitioners has stated that the petitioners having burned their fingers now they would not stand surety for convict. Be that as it may the imposition of fine of ₹10,000/- each towards the petitioners would subserve in the interest of justice. In the result the impugned order is modified and both the petitioners shall be liable to pay fine in the sum of ₹10,000/- each and the same shall be deposited within three months from today.

Disposed of.

September 15, 2016

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No