

254 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.18969 of 2015

Date of decision: May 30, 2016

Sudarshan Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Respondent No.2-Vijay Jain in person.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.32 dated 13.03.2015, under Sections 323, 325, 452, 148, 149 IPC and offence under Section 326 IPC added later on registered at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Vide order dated April 25, 2016, parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court, for getting their statements recorded. In compliance thereof, report of trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the matter has been compromised between the parties voluntarily and without any pressure, threat or coercion.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab & Anr. 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Narinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr., (2014) 6 SCC 466*, I am of the

considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.32 dated 13.03.2015, under Sections 323, 325, 452, 148, 149 IPC and offence under Section 326 IPC added later on registered at Police Station Division No.3, Jalandhar, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed qua the petitioners.

May 30, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE