

123 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19902 of 2016
DECIDED ON: JUNE 02, 2016**

DAVINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to the respondents to provide adequate security to the petitioner.

2. It has been *inter-alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner though a representation dated 21.08.2015 (Annexure P-2) was moved to the Director General of Police, Punjab and copy thereof, was also sent to respondent No.2-Addl. Director General of Police (Security), Sector 9, Chandigarh but till date no action has been taken on the said representation. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) within a stipulated period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State Punjab. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Additional Director General of Police (Security), Punjab, Sector 9, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated August 21, 2015 (Annexure P-2) and if some substance is found, to take an appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order.

JUNE 02, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE