

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1893-2015

Date of Decision: January 08, 2016

Inder Singh Dhawan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sham S.Lal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.P.S.Hundal, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Dinesh Trehan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Sidhu, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.A.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the respondent.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to challenge the order of summoning by way of revision petition before the Court of Session at first instance.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection

to the above prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that if the petitioner files a revision petition impugning the summoning order along with an application for condonation of delay within one month, the said application for condonation of delay shall be decided keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has approached this Court.

January 08, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE