

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19848-2016

Date of Decision: September 01, 2016

Neeraj @ Tinda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

.....

M.M.S. BEDI, J (Oral)

This is the second application for grant of bail to the petitioner, earlier having been dismissed on 06.11.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently contended that the complainant-injured, Vinod, and his father, Balkishan, are evading appearance before the trial Court. Neither the father nor the complainant has been appearing before the said Court, as is apparent from the impugned order. Though the

petitioner has been in custody, w.e.f. 23.09.2014, but only ten witnesses out of total twenty prosecution witnesses stand examined.

The petition is disposed of with a direction that the trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to examine the material witnesses, i.e. Vinod and Balkishan, and conclude the trial within a period of six months after the next date of hearing.

In case, the trial is not concluded within a period of six months after the next date of hearing, the trial Court shall release the petitioner on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 01, 2016
apurva

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No