

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 19847 of 2016
Date of decision : September 20, 2016

Susham Noonia

..... Petitioner

v.

State of UT Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, APP for UT Chandigarh.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.61, dated 9.4.2016, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307 of the IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Sector 11, Chandigarh.

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 2.6.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial investigation.

Counsel for the respondent, on instructions from SI Ramesh Singh, states that though the petitioner has joined the investigation on previous occasions, he is still required to join investigation. Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be available for joining on any date when the IO requires him.

Counsel for the respondent further states that in the case of co-accused Satwinder Singh Nawal, regular bail had been granted by this Court vide order dated 9.8.2016 passed in CRM-M No.22549 of 2016 and a direction had been issued that he would mark his presence in the concerned police station on every Monday till 21.12.2016, and it would be appropriate if some direction is given to the present petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he has no objection.

Consequently, the order dated 2.6.2016 is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438 of the Cr.P.C and it is directed that the petitioner would mark his presence in the concerned police station on every Monday till 21.12.2016. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 20, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No