

**Sr. No.111
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-1983 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.01.2016**

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Janak Singh Bhinder, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in complaint No.650 dated 28.04.2014, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, filed in the Court of Dipti Goyal, PCS, Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patiala.

Perusal of the order dated 08.01.2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala, declining concession of pre-arrest bail would reveal that the petitioner who was facing proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act had apparently entered into compromise with the complainant and 09.07.2015 was the date fixed for making the payment. Petitioner resiled from such compromise and did not appear on 09.07.2015. Accordingly, his bail order was cancelled and petitioner was directed to be summoned through non-bailable warrant of arrest. Having failed to secure the presence of the petitioner, proclamation was issued under Section 82 Cr.P.C. On 28.09.2015, petitioner was declared as a proclaimed person.

Such observations contained in the order dated 08.01.2016 have not met with any rebuttal by the counsel.

The conduct of the petitioner as noticed here-in-above disentitles him to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition is dismissed.

January 20, 2016

Vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**