

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19768-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.06.2016**

Daljit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.N. Sarup, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is tried in an FIR bearing No.37 dated 12.05.2016 registered under Section 304/149 IPC at Police Station Bhadson, District Patiala, at the instance of the complainant, namely, Randhir Singh.

According to the FIR, on 11.05.2016, the complainant and one Dharam Singh, while going to the house of Mewa Singh, were allegedly interrupted by the petitioner and other co-accused and they gave bricks bat blows.

It is further case in the FIR that Gurdeep Singh-co-accused had entered the house of Mewa Singh and he gave a push to Mewa Singh as a

result of which he died.

It is matter of record that co-accused, namely, Sinder Kaur and Beant Kaur have already granted interim bail vide order dated 27.05.2016 in **CRM-M-18782-2016.**

Learned State Counsel on instruction from ASI Nazir Singh submits that no injury had been attributed towards the petitioner qua the alleged offence and there is no other case registered against the petitioner.

Whereas on the contrary, Mr. L.M. Gulati, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that DDR No.34 dated 22.07.2014 was registered against the petitioner and also an FIR bearing No.79 dated 16.10.2015 was also registered against the petitioner. The petitioner and his family members having criminal back-ground, much less, indulging into quarrels with each other and even the father of the petitioner has murdered his father i.e. grandfather of the petitioner, but at that time, he was five years old.

Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, in rebuttal, submits that as regards the investigation in respect of the DDR, it was found that the complainant had fallen down and with regard to the FIR bearing No.79 (Annexure A-2), no challan has been presented.

Taking into consideration the stage of the case and without commenting on merits thereof, the petitioner is granted the concession of bail. The present petition is allowed. He is directed to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be admitted to anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. He is also

directed to comply with the provisions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

15.06.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE