

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19767-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 29.07.2016

Raj Narayan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Payel Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Shyam Lal.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.27 dated 03.05.2016, registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Shahpurkandi.

Heard.

Learned counsel refers to the bank statement, which is taken on record as Mark 'A', wherein, a sum of ₹2.15 lacs is shown to have been credited in the account of Vikramjeet Singh. She further states that the total amount received by the petitioner is ₹1.60 lacs.

On 02.06.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Petitioner seeks the concession of pre-arrest bail in a case registered at the instance of Vikas Mahajan of HDFC Bank alleging that he was contacted by petitioner and Vikramjit Singh who told the complainant that they are running social NGO.

Counsel for the petitioner has drawn the attention

ATUL SETHI
29.07.2016
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

of this Court to the proceedings of the police which indicate that co-accused of the petitioner Vikramjit has actually taken sum of Rs.2.60 lacs The allegation against the petitioner is that he connived with him in causing wrongful loss to the complainant.

Notice to the State for July 29, 2016.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioner will join investigation on June 11, 2016 and June 25, 2016 and in case of his doing so, he will be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 02.06.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

29.07.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No