

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-19764 of 2016
Date of decision: 04.11.2016**

Manik Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. A.K. Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjeev Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Quashing of FIR No. 17 dated 24.01.2012, under Sections 498-A/406/506 IPC, registered at Police Station, Chandimandir, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) is sought on the basis of compromise dated 08.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

The F.I.R was registered on the basis of complaint made by Arti Gupta-respondent No.2 to the effect that her marriage was solemnized with Manik Goyal-petitioner on 03.02.2008 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. Out of this wedlock, one daughter namely Armani was born. Soon after the marriage, her in-laws i.e. petitioners started harassing and humiliating the complainant on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry. She was also given beatings by them. Ultimately, on 03.01.2012 she was thrown out of the matrimonial house. Since then, she is residing in her parental house. In this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of trial, the matter has been compromised between the parties vide compromise deed dated 08.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 12.08.2016 passed by this Court, parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula, has been received in this regard. As per report, Arti Gupta-respondent No.2 (complainant) made her statement on 21.09.2016 to the effect that she has compromised the matter with accused-petitioner at her own free will and without any coercion or pressure. She has no objection if, the above said FIR is quashed. Separate statement of Manik Goyal-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements given by the parties, the court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and Ors. vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (CrL.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 17 dated 24.01.2012, under Sections 498-A/406/506 IPC, registered at Police Station, Chandimandir, District Panchkula, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

04.11.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE