

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

MAMTA MALHOTRA
2016.06.03 17:02

Criminal Misc.No.M-19704 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01st June, 2016

Prashotam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeking the indulgence of this Court for directing the respondents to decide the representation dated 17.05.2016, made by the petitioner to the respondents as the petitioner is a poor labourer and he is being harassed by the owners of the brick kiln etc.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record and also the representation given by the petitioner to the police.

From the record, this Court finds that the dispute is between the petitioner and the owners of the brick kiln where the petitioner is stated to be working as labourer. Perusal of the representation shows that there is some dispute between the petitioner and the owners of the brick kiln regarding payment of some salary etc. The petitioner has also stated in the representation that some words have been used by the owners of the brick kiln regarding his caste.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court finds that no ground for giving direction to the respondents is

made out. If the petitioner wants to take any action against them regarding non-payment of the salary etc. or want to get registered the FIR or want to initiate the criminal proceedings against them, he can avail the alternative remedies, as available in *Sakiri Vasu v. State of U.P. and others, 2008 (1) R.C.R. (Cr.) 392*.

Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is dismissed.

01st June, 2016
mamta

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE