

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M-19702 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.8.2016

Ranjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. R.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. V.P.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Mukesh Garg, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

Through the instant petition, the petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 57 dated 7.5.2016, registered under Sections 354, 354-B, 323 IPC, Police Station Dirba, District Sangrur.

Mr. Mukesh Garg, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 and has filed the power of attorney.

Vide order dated 1.6.2016, the petitioners were directed to join the investigation. Petitioner No. 1 is the real *Masad* of the complainant. Civil litigation is going on between the parties. The dispute relates to the property of the wife of petitioner No. 1.

The complainant's side disputes that Surjit Kaur was the wife of Ranjit Singh.

There was a counter complaint given by the petitioners on

which case under Section 107, 151 Cr.P.C. was registered.

I have gone through the MLR. There is no history of any clothes being torn.

The counsel for the complainant relied upon '*Ullas Kapoor versus State of Punjab 2014(4) Law Herald 2953*'. The authority is not applicable to the facts of the present case.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 1.6.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

August 23, 2016
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No