

**CRM-M-19684-2016 and
CRM-M-20813-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 20.09.2016

1. CRM-M-19684-2016

Amarjit Kaur @ Amrita and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

AND

2. CRM-M-20813-2016

Satnam Singh @ Pamma @ Bhucha and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Ms. Shivali, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 in both the petitions.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-19684-2016, filed by
petitioners namely Amarjit Kaur @ Amrita and Sukhwinder Kumar @

**CRM-M-19684-2016 and
CRM-M-20813-2016**

Sukha and CRM-M-20813-2016, filed by petitioners namely Satnam Singh @ Pamma @ Bhucha and Baljit Kaur, in complaint dated 26.11.2010, titled as 'Jagtar Ram vs. Makhan Singh and others', (Annexure P-1).

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State and respondent No.2 also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the petitioners have been summoned in complaint case. It was stated by learned counsel for the petitioners that in fact, no case is made out against the petitioners and whole story, as put forth by the complainant, is just to falsely implicate the petitioners in the present complaint. Learned counsel for the petitioners also argued that matter was also reported to the police, but after inquiry, no substance was found and no action was taken on the baseless allegations made by respondent No.2.

In pursuance of the orders dated 03.06.2016 passed in CRM-M-19684-2016 and dated 25.07.2016 in CRM-M-20813-2016, the petitioners appeared before learned trial Court and have been released on interim bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details; without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose

**CRM-M-19684-2016 and
CRM-M-20813-2016**

would be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 03.06.2016 passed in CRM-M-19684-2016 and dated 25.07.2016 in CRM-M-20813-2016 passed by this Court, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute.

20.09.2016
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No