

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M- 19681 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.09.2016

Vikas Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate and
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by Inspector Sanjeev Singla.

Mr. Rajesh Punj, Advocate.

Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.84, dated 09.09.2015, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 4 & 5 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2012, at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states in pursuance

of the order dated 03.06.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel states that the petitioner in connivance with main accused has usurped the huge amount. The recovery of amount is yet to be effected.

Heard.

The petitioner in connivance with main accused has usurped the huge amount of the general public. The petitioner was allowed interim bail on the undertaking made by the main accused, Jagjit Singh @ Ladi, whose bail petition has been dismissed. Since the undertaking made before this Court lacks *bona fide*, therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.09.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No