

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19663 of 2016
DECIDED ON: June 01, 2016

POOJA RANI

.....PETITIONER..

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Nripjeet S. Mehrok, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only, at this stage.

3. On the asking of Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of respondents-State. Copy of the petition has been supplied to learned State counsel.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that though representation dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-2) has already been moved to

Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka but till date no action has been taken.

5. The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, District Fazilka, Punjab, to inquire into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated 27.05.2016 (Annexure P-2) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and if concerned police official found that there is threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioner, he shall provide the necessary protection, if so required.

June 01, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE