

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18680-2015

Date of decision: September 23, 2016

Meenakshi Saxena

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr. C.S. Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioner has sought issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to submit the report called by the Magistrate under Section 210 of Cr.P.C. in complaint case bearing No.168/2012 dated 22.02.2012/23.11.2012 titled as "*Meenakshi Saxena vs. Amit Gaur @ Vicky*", under Sections 380, 403, 454, 506 IPC.

In response to the notice of motion, reply in the shape of affidavit of Gorakh Pal, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samalkha has been filed.

A glance at the aforesaid reply-cum-affidavit transpires that the report under Section 202 Cr.P.C. sought by learned Magistrate under a complaint case referred to above in the foregoing paragraph, has already been filed on 21st October, 2010. Even a copy of the said report sought

under Section 202 Cr.P.C. by the Magistrate has also been annexed along with the reply as Annexure R-1.

Since the requisite report under Section 202 Cr.P.C. has already been submitted by the State, the instant petition has rendered infructuous. Accordingly, it stands disposed of.

September 23, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No