

FAO-601-1995 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.03.2016

..... Appellant

..... Respondents

Mr.Naveen Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. B.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

The sole claim of the appellant-Insurance Company was that recovery rights should have been awarded against the owner i.e. respondent No.3. During the pendency of the appeal the respondent No.3 has died. The award is of 1994. Keeping in view the amount of compensation, the time which has elapsed and the fact that the owner has now died as well as the fact that matter has been lingering on for about 2 years for imploding

his LRs without any success by the Insurance Company, I do not deem it a fit case to proceed.

Appeal is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

22.03.2016

Pooja Sharma-I

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE