

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

220

CRM-M-19616-2016

Date of Decision: August 16, 2016

RAMINDER & ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UT OF CHANDIGARH & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present: Mr.Kamaldip Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Gautam Dutt, Addl. PP for UT, Chandigarh.

Mr.L.S.Bhullar, for respondents No.2 to 4.

M.M.S.BEDI, J.(Oral)

This is a petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Injured Daya Ram is present in the Court and states that the matter has been compromised. On the basis of his statement, the petitioner has been granted the bail today in a separate case.

I have considered the petition for quashing of FIR and criminal proceedings on the basis of compromise. Since it is a case where petitioner No.1 has given iron rod blow on the head of the complainant and petitioner No.2 has given a knife blow, no opinion can be expressed at this stage regarding the circumstance in which the matter has been compromised.

The complainant-injured has already been examined during trial. However, in case the trial Court is apprised regarding the compromise Annexure P-6 and if the trial Court is satisfied that the matter has been amicably compromised, it will be open to the trial Court at appropriate time to give any benefit permissible under law or to consider the compromise as a mitigating circumstance for any relief which the petitioners are entitled including the benefit of Section 221 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in case it opts to pass an order of conviction.

Disposed of with the above observations.

August 16, 2016
TSG

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable?

Yes/No