

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No.M-196 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.02.2016

Amro Bai

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner pending trial in case FIR No.314 dated 19.09.2015, under Section 323, 506, 34 IPC (Section 307 IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Sadar Dabwali, District Sirsa.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Balbir Singh in relation to an occurrence of 18.09.2015. Allegations are that the present petitioner along with her husband, Boota Singh and son Sonu (co-accused) went to the roof of their house and threw bricks in the courtyard of the house of brother of the complainant and in which Charanjit Singh has received two brick injuries on his head. It is on account of such brick injuries on the head that offence under Section 307 IPC was subsequently added.

Learned State counsel who is on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar has read out in Court today the statement of injured, Charanjit Singh and in which he has attributed specific brick blows to his head from co-accused, Boota Singh and Sonu son of Boota Singh.

Investigation in the present case having been completed, challan has been presented and charges are stated to have been framed on 15.02.2016. The trial, as such, is at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

The petitioner is a lady, who is in custody since 28.09.2015. As per statement recorded by the Investigating Agency of Charanjit Singh, there would be no parity between the present petitioner and co-accused, Boota Singh and Sonu who allegedly threw bricks and which struck Charanjit Singh on his head.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sirsa.

Disposed of.

29.02.2016

shubham

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**