

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CRM-M-19587-2016

Decided on: December 17, 2016.

Sanjeev Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. G.S. Kaura, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. H.K. Athwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Premjit Singh Hundal, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

On the instructions of HC Parveen Kumar, learned State counsel informs that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose application for pre-arrest bail contending that the petitioner has duped the complainant of sum of Rs.25 lacs by persuading him to enter into an agreement of sale orally by showing the shops of other persons.

I have considered the nature of the allegations against the petitioner and gone through the police file.

Taking into consideration the nature of the allegations and the material available on record, I am of the considered opinion that it is not a case of custodial interrogation.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that in case of arrest of

petitioner, he will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 17, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No