

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

240

**Criminal Misc. No.M-1863 of 2015
Date of decision: 9th February, 2016**

Anita @ Neeta and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioners have been acquitted of the charge by the trial Court.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

February 09, 2016

sonia gugnani