

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18673-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 11, 2016.

Gulshan Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

M/s Yamuna Cable Accessoreis Pvt. Ltd. And another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mrs. Sushma Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Sunil Nehra, Advocate for respondent No.1.
Shri Chetan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned Counsel for the parties.

Learned Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that compromise has been entered into with the intervention of the mediator. In this regard, the report of the mediator along with the settlement that has been arrived at, is part of the record. Document Ex. Mark 'X' filed in Court today, is taken on record. In view of this document, there is no objection of the complainant represented by Advocate. He has received the demand draft in the sum of Rs.2.00 lacs.

The parties undertake to abide by all the terms and conditions of the compromise/settlement.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and criminal complaint No.244 dated 8.9.2013 titled as M/s Yamuna Cable accessories v/s Rahul Sehgal and another (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Yamuna Nagar is quashed.

July 11, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge