

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19537 of 2016
DECIDED ON: June 01, 2016**

DR. MUNISH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Ved PArkash, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent No.4.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only, at this stage.
3. At the asking of the Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to learned State counsel.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation dated 12.05.2016 (Annexure P-7) was moved to Senior

Superintendent of Police, Bathinda but till date no action has been taken.

5. The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, Punjab, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 12.05.2016 (Annexure P-7) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, if, respondent No.2 finds that there is threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioner, he shall provide necessary protection to him.

June 01, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE