

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -19454 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.10.2016

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Karan Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.
assisted by ASI Harjit Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.242 dated 06.08.2014, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Jandiala Guri, District Amritsar.

On 01.06.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to accused petitioner Satnam Singh in case FIR No.242 dated 6.8.2014 under Section 420,467,468,471,120-B IPC,PS Jandiala Guru,Distt.Amritsar.

As per complainant Harbhajan Singh he was lured to part with a sum of Rs.12 lacs by the accused party on the pretext of seeking a job in the Railway Department as Ticket Collector for the son (Harvinder Singh) of

complainant. The role attributed to the petitioner is of introducing the principal accused, Capt. Darshan Singh.

It is contended that petitioner is not named in the FIR nor has any role in inducing the complainant to pay the amount. It is next contended that it is after two years that the police with ulterior motive is now seeking to arrest the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 30.8.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall appear before the I.O., as and when called upon for investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. Petitioner shall also be bound by all the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 01.06.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 01.06.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

04.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No