

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-19392 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 16th SEPTEMBER, 2016**

Sonu

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Tanu Shree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.164 dated 24.04.2016 registered under Sections 406 and 420 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, District Kaithal.

In response to the order dated 31.05.2016 passed by this court the petitioner is unable to deposit ₹80,000/-.

In that view of the matter the case is heard on merits.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the FIR and allegations made therein.

Having grabbed the amount from the complainant by cheating that the amount would be made double, the allegation is serious enough to decline the concession of anticipatory bail. That apart, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is also convicted in one case and one more case of similar nature is pending against him.

In that view of the matter, prima facie, no case is made out to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. In the result, interim order dated 31.05.2016 passed by this court is revoked. The petition for anticipatory bail stands dismissed.

16th SEPTEMBER, 2016
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.