

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
220 **CRM-M No.18406 of 2015**
Date of Decision:25.02.2016

Gurpreet Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **.....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.G.S.Benipal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.A.P.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Manpreet Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

KULDIP SINGH, J.(oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent No.5 has not been filed.

On instructions from ASI Lal Singh, it is stated that two PSOs were provided to the petitioner for three months. After the lapse of three months which are going to expire on 01.05.2016, the matter is to be reviewed afresh.

It being so, the State is directed to continue to assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioner and carry out quarterly review of the same. If it is found that the petitioner needs protection for more time, the State will accordingly ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is protected.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2016